Mergers & | Acquisitions | Review

ELEVENTH EDITION

Editor Mark Zerdin

#LAWREVIEWS

MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

The Mergers and Acquisitions Review Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Mergers and Acquisitions Review, - Edition 11 (published in October 2017 – editor Mark Zerdin)

For further information please email Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

ELEVENTH EDITION

Editor Mark Zerdin

ELAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER Gideon Roberton

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS Thomas Lee, Joel Woods

> ACCOUNT MANAGERS Pere Aspinall, Sophie Emberson, Laura Lynas, Jack Bagnall

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

> RESEARCHER Arthur Hunter

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR Anne Borthwick

> SUBEDITOR Hilary Scott

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Paul Howarth

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2017 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of August 2017, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-910813-75-1

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

LAWREVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW THE MINING LAW REVIEW THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW THE INSOLVENCY REVIEW THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW THE INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION REVIEW THE GAMBLING LAW REVIEW THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST REVIEW THE REAL ESTATE M&A AND PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW THE SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AND ACTIVISM REVIEW THE ISLAMIC FINANCE AND MARKETS LAW REVIEW THE ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE LAW REVIEW THE CONSUMER FINANCE LAW REVIEW THE INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS REVIEW THE CLASS ACTIONS LAW REVIEW THE TRANSFER PRICING LAW REVIEW THE BANKING LITIGATION LAW REVIEW THE HEALTHCARE LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

AABØ-EVENSEN & CO ADVOKATFIRMA

ÆLEX

AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE

AKD NV

AL TAMIMI & CO

ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP

ANDERSON MŌRI & TOMOTSUNE

ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA

ASHURST LLP

AZMI & ASSOCIATES

BAKER McKENZIE

BHARUCHA & PARTNERS

BREDIN PRAT

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

CMS

CORONEL & PÉREZ

CORRS CHAMBERS WESTGARTH

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRÍQUEZ, SC

DEHENG LAW OFFICES

DITTMAR & INDRENIUS

DLA PIPER DENMARK LAW FIRM P/S

DRYLLERAKIS & ASSOCIATES

DUANE MORRIS & SELVAM LLP

ELVINGER HOSS PRUSSEN

EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND BITĀNS

HENGELER MUELLER

HEUKING KÜHN LÜER WOJTEK

JONES DAY

LEGAL ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS

MAKES & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

MAPLES AND CALDER

MATTOS FILHO, VEIGA FILHO, MARREY JR E QUIROGA ADVOGADOS

NISHIMURA & ASAHI

OPPENHEIM LAW FIRM

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

PEREZ ALATI, GRONDONA, BENITES, ARNTSEN & MARTINEZ DE HOZ (H)

ROJS, PELJHAN, PRELESNIK & PARTNERS

RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND

RUSSIN, VECCHI & HEREDIA BONETTI

S HOROWITZ & CO

SANTA MARIA LAW FIRM

SCHELLENBERG WITTMER LTD

SCHINDLER RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

TORRES, PLAZ & ARAUJO

TRIAY & TRIAY

URÍA MENÉNDEZ – PROENÇA DE CARVALHO UTEEM CHAMBERS WALKERS WH PARTNERS WHITE & CASE LLP

CONTENTS

PREFACE		xi
Mark Zerdin		
Chapter 1	EU OVERVIEW	1
	Mark Zerdin	
Chapter 2	EUROPEAN PRIVATE EQUITY	
	Benedikt von Schorlemer and Holger H Ebersberger	
Chapter 3	M&A LITIGATION	
I III	Roger A Cooper, Meredith E Kotler and Vanessa C Richardson	
Chapter 4	PRIVATE EQUITY: AN OFFSHORE PERSPECTIVE	
I. I. I.	Rolf Lindsay	
Chapter 5	ARGENTINA	31
Chapter y	Santiago Daireaux and Fernando S Zoppi	
Character	AUSTRALIA	20
Chapter 6		
	Sandy Mak	
Chapter 7	AUSTRIA	
	Clemens Philipp Schindler	
Chapter 8	BRAZIL	62
	Moacir Zilbovicius and Rodrigo Ferreira Figueiredo	
Chapter 9	BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS	71
	Richard May and Richard Spooner	
Chapter 10	CANADA	80
	Robert Yalden, Emmanuel Pressman and Jeremy Fraiberg	

Chapter 11	CAYMAN ISLANDS	95
	Suzanne Correy and Daniel Lee	
Chapter 12	CHINA	
	Wei (David) Chen, Yuan Wang and Kai Xue	
Chapter 13	COLOMBIA	115
	Juan Manuel del la Rosa, Alexandra Montealegre and Lina Tellez	
Chapter 14	COSTA RICA	
	John Aguilar Jr and Marco Solano	
Chapter 15	DENMARK	
	Nicholas Lerche-Gredal and Sebastian Ingversen	
Chapter 16	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	144
	María Esther Fernández A de Pou, Mónica Villafaña Aquino and	
	Laura Fernández-Peix Perez	
Chapter 17	ECUADOR	154
	Xiomara Castro Pazmiño and Elena Donoso Peña	
Chapter 18	EGYPT	
	Mohamed Gabr, Ingy Darwish and Engy ElKady	
Chapter 19	FINLAND	171
	Jan Ollila, Wilhelm Eklund and Jasper Kuhlefelt	
Chapter 20	FRANCE	
	Didier Martin	
Chapter 21	GERMANY	
	Heinrich Knepper	
Chapter 22	GIBRALTAR	218
	Alan Buchanan and Christopher Davis	
Chapter 23	GREECE	
	Cleomenis G Yannikas, Sophia K Grigoriadou and Vassilis S Constantinidis	

Chapter 24	HONG KONG
	Jason Webber
Chapter 25	HUNGARY247
	József Bulcsú Fenyvesi and Mihály Barcza
Chapter 26	ICELAND257
	Hans Henning Hoff
Chapter 27	INDIA
	Justin Bharucha
Chapter 28	INDONESIA
	Yozua Makes
Chapter 29	ISRAEL
	Clifford Davis and Keith Shaw
Chapter 30	ITALY
	Mario Santa Maria and Carlo Scaglioni
Chapter 31	JAPAN
	Hiroki Kodate and Yuri Totsuka
Chapter 32	LATVIA
	Māris Vainovskis, Toms Puriņš and Justīne Ignatavičute
Chapter 33	LUXEMBOURG
	Philippe Hoss and Thierry Kauffman
Chapter 34	MALAYSIA
	Sharizan Bin Sarif and Lee Kin Hing
Chapter 35	MALTA
	James Scicluna, Ramona Azzopardi and Rachel Vella Baldacchino
Chapter 36	MAURITIUS
	Muhammad Reza Cassam Uteem and Basheema Farreedun
Chapter 37	MEXICO
	Eduardo González, Jorge Montaño and Humberto Botti

Chapter 38	MYANMAR	389
	Krishna Ramachandra and Rory Lang	
Chapter 39	NETHERLANDS	405
	Carlos Pita Cao and François Koppenol	
Chapter 40	NIGERIA	418
	Lawrence Fubara Anga and Maranatha Abraham	
Chapter 41	NORWAY	422
	Ole K Aabø-Evensen	
Chapter 42	PANAMA	457
	Andrés N Rubinoff	
Chapter 43	PERU	465
	Carlos Arata	
Chapter 44	PORTUGAL	474
	Francisco Brito e Abreu and Joana Torres Ereio	
Chapter 45	QATAR	487
	Michiel Visser, Charbel Abou Charaf and Eugenia Greco	
Chapter 46	ROMANIA	500
	Horea Popescu and Claudia Nagy	
Chapter 47	RUSSIA	511
	Scott Senecal, Yulia Solomakhina and Ekaterina Abrossimova	
Chapter 48	SINGAPORE	535
	Lim Mei and Lee Kee Yeng	
Chapter 49	SLOVENIA	542
	David Premelč, Bojan Šporar and Jakob Ivančič	
Chapter 50	SWITZERLAND	552
	Lorenzo Olgiati, Martin Weber, Jean Jacques Ah Choon, Harun Can and David Mamane	
Chapter 51	TAIWAN	563
	Thomas T M Chen, John C Lin and Raymond H Wang	

Chapter 52	TURKEY	3
	Emre Akın Sait	
Chapter 53	UKRAINE	1
	Viacheslav Yakymchuk and Olha Demianiuk	
Chapter 54	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	4
	Mohammed Majid and John O'Connor	
Chapter 55	UNITED KINGDOM	94
	Mark Zerdin	
Chapter 56	UNITED STATES	5
	Richard Hall and Mark Greene	
Chapter 57	VENEZUELA	60
	Guillermo de la Rosa Stolk, Juan Domingo Alfonzo Paradisi, Nelson Borjas Espinoza and Domingo Piscitelli Nevola	
Chapter 58	VIETNAM	2
	Hikaru Oguchi, Taro Hirosawa and Ha Hoang Loc	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	3
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS	3

HONG KONG

Jason Webber¹

I OVERVIEW OF M&A ACTIVITY²

M&A activity in Hong Kong dropped in 2016 in terms of total deal value and number of deals. Hong Kong saw a 56.08 per cent decrease in the value of M&A deals announced in 2016 compared to 2015, with a total of 599 announced deals in 2016, out of which 516 deals had a total disclosed value of US\$118.12 billion compared with a total of 636 announced deals in 2015, out of which 563 deals had a total disclosed value of US\$268.94 billion. Similarly, there were 469 M&A deals completed in 2016, out of which 394 deals had a total disclosed value of US\$125.07 billion, a drop of 39.66 per cent compared to the 537 M&A deals completed in 2015, out of which 472 deals had a total disclosed value of US\$207.26 billion.³

The Hong Kong securities markets showed a slight increase in terms of market capitalisation but a notable decrease in terms of trading activity in 2016. The total market capitalisation of the securities market at the end of 2016 was HK\$24,761.3 billion, 0.31 per cent higher than the year-end total market capitalisation in 2015. Total securities market turnover in 2016 was HK\$16,396.4 billion, a drop of 37.16 per cent compared with 2015. A total of 126 companies were newly listed on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (SEHK) in 2016, and the total amount of equity funds raised on the SEHK in 2016 was approximately HK\$490.05 billion.⁴

During 2016 as a whole, Hong Kong's economy grew by 1.9 per cent, less than the 2.4 per cent growth in 2015 and the average annual growth rate of 2.9 per cent over the previous decade. The government has forecast that the Hong Kong economy will grow by 2 to 3 per cent in 2017.⁵

II GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR M&A

The law governing mergers and acquisitions in Hong Kong comprises primary legislation, regulatory rules, the law of contract and case law.

¹ Jason Webber is a partner at Slaughter and May. The author would like to thank Nicola Lui and Karen Lee for their assistance in preparing this chapter.

² Statistics on mergers and acquisitions involving Hong Kong companies differ significantly among various sources. This summary covers all Hong Kong M&A activity from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2016.

³ Source: Mergermarket. The statistics shown include majority stake deals (over 30 per cent) only and exclude lapsed or withdrawn bids.

⁴ Source: SEHK Fact Book 2016.

⁵ Source: 2016 Economic Background and 2017 Prospects, Financial Secretary's Office Government of the HKSAR (www.hkeconomy.gov.hk/en/pdf/er_16q4.pdf).

The primary legislation that applies principally to Hong Kong-incorporated companies in general is the Companies Ordinance (CO), and includes provisions relating to financial assistance for the acquisition of a company's own shares, merger relief, transfers of shares and schemes of arrangement affecting mergers. The Securities and Futures Ordinance (SFO) is also relevant, covering the regulation of offers of securities, and the communication of invitations and inducements to engage in securities transactions. For companies in certain industries, there is also specific legislation that may be relevant, for example:

- *a* the Banking Ordinance for banking, restricted licence banking and deposit-taking companies;
- *b* the SFO for securities, financial advisory and asset management companies;
- c the Broadcasting Ordinance (BO) and the Telecommunications Ordinance (TO) for radio, television broadcasting and telecommunications companies; and
- *d* the Insurance Companies Ordinance for insurance companies.

Prior approval of ownership changes from the relevant regulatory bodies may be required under the legislation listed above.

If an M&A transaction involves a company whose shares are listed on the SEHK, the Rules Governing the Listing of Securities on The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (Listing Rules) will also apply. In addition, the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC), in consultation with the Takeovers and Mergers Panel (Panel) – a committee formed by the SFC pursuant to the SFO – has issued the Code on Takeovers and Mergers (Takeovers Code), which applies to takeovers, mergers and share buy-backs affecting public companies⁶ in Hong Kong and companies with a primary listing of their equity securities in Hong Kong. The Takeovers Code is not statutory and does not have the force of law, but the Listing Rules expressly require compliance with the Takeovers Code. As a non-governmental statutory body, the SFC regulates the securities and futures markets in Hong Kong and oversees the development of these markets. Its decisions apply to M&A of public companies.

Since Hong Kong is a common law jurisdiction,⁷ the law of contract (which is largely derived from English law) and case law⁸ also form an important part of the law governing M&A in Hong Kong.

⁶ The Takeovers Code states that all circumstances are to be considered, and an economic or commercial test is to be applied (taking into account primarily the number of Hong Kong shareholders and the extent of share trading in Hong Kong), in deciding whether a company is a 'public company'. For the purposes of the CO, a private company is a company incorporated in Hong Kong that, by its articles of association, restricts the right to transfer its shares; limits the number of its members to 50, not including persons who are in the employment of the company and persons who, having been formerly in the employment of the company, were, while in that employment, and have continued after the termination of that employment to be, members of the company; and prohibits any invitation to the public to subscribe for any shares or debentures of the company (Section 11 of the CO).

⁷ Under the 'one country, two systems' approach, implemented after the transfer of sovereignty over Hong Kong to the People's Republic of China (China) on 1 July 1997, Hong Kong remains a common law jurisdiction.

⁸ English case law has persuasive authority only and is subject to interpretation by the Hong Kong courts.

III DEVELOPMENTS IN CORPORATE AND TAKEOVER LAW AND THEIR IMPACT

i Takeovers Code

To ensure that the Takeovers Code takes account of market developments and developing international practice, it is kept under regular review by the Executive of the SFC, in consultation with the Panel. There have been no significant recent amendments to the Takeovers Code.

ii Listing Rules

The Listing Rules reflect currently acceptable standards in the marketplace and are designed to ensure that investors have, and can maintain, confidence in the market. To ensure that the Listing Rules take account of market developments and developing international practice, the SEHK regularly reviews the Listing Rules and may, subject to the approval of the SFC under Section 24 of the SFO, make amendments to the Listing Rules.

Certain amendments were made recently to the Environmental, Social and Governance Reporting Guide (ESG Guide) and related Listing Rules. The upgrading of general disclosures under each aspect of the ESG Guide regarding 'comply or explain' provisions, the inclusion of a disclosure of gender diversity as a recommended disclosure and the related Listing Rules amendments came into effect for issuers' financial years commencing on or after 1 January 2016. The upgrading of key performance indicators in the environmental area of the ESG Guide from recommended disclosures to 'comply or explain' provisions came into effect for issuers' financial years commencing on or after 1 January 2017.

iii The Companies Ordinance

The Companies Ordinance came into effect on 3 March 2014. Various key concepts under the Companies Ordinance that are relevant in the context of M&A are set out below:

- the requirements for approving a scheme of arrangement differ depending on the type of scheme. For privatisation schemes and members' schemes involving a takeover offer or a general offer, the 'disinterested shares test' (which requires 'not more than 10 per cent of the total voting rights attached to all disinterested shares [to be] voted against the proposal') applies so as to align with the requirement under the Takeovers Code in the context of a takeover. The headcount test (which requires that a majority of the shareholders of the 'target' company voting on a scheme of arrangement (either in person or by proxy) must vote in favour of it) applies to creditors' schemes and members' schemes not involving a takeover offer or a general offer, and in these situations, the court is given discretion to dispense with the test in appropriate circumstances;
- b a company and its wholly owned subsidiaries may amalgamate and continue as one company without the sanction of the court, provided that certain conditions are met. Such conditions include, for example, that each amalgamating company is a Hong Kong incorporated company limited by shares, that each amalgamating company is solvent and that no creditor of an amalgamating company will be prejudiced by the amalgamation;
- *c* there is a general prohibition on both private and public companies providing financial assistance for an acquisition of shares in itself, and streamlined 'whitewash' procedures are extended to listed companies. In addition, it is expressly provided that a company

is not prohibited from giving financial assistance for the purpose of an acquisition of shares in its holding company if the holding company is incorporated outside Hong Kong; and

companies now enjoy more flexibility in structuring and organising their share capital in light of the updating of certain concepts relating to share capital (par value (or nominal value), share premium and the requirement for authorised capital have been abolished). Despite the absence of share premium, merger relief continues to be available. The amount required to be recorded as share capital in respect of the consideration shares issued by an acquiring company is the subscribed capital attributable to the acquired shares.

IV FOREIGN INVOLVEMENT IN M&A TRANSACTIONS

Given Hong Kong's position as a hub for investment into China, its status as a major regional financial centre, and the widespread use of offshore companies for investment into and out of China, a substantial number of transactions have foreign involvement, including in the form of acquisitions by offshore companies. An analysis by reference to foreign involvement in transactions is therefore not particularly meaningful.

V SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS, KEY TRENDS AND HOT INDUSTRIES

As a significant number of companies whose shares are listed on the SEHK have controlling shareholders, there is not a large number of unsolicited M&A offers.

There were numerous high-profile M&A transactions in 2016. In June 2016, a consortium led by Tencent Holdings Limited, which is listed on the SEHK, agreed to acquire a 84.3 per cent stake in Supercell Oy from SoftBank Group Corp for approximately US\$8.6 billion. Supercell Oy is a Finnish company that develops mobile games for tablets and smartphones and that created several popular games in the market, including Clash of Clans. It is expected that this acquisition would enable Tencent to enhance its research and development capabilities and boost its revenue in, and access to, the global mobile gaming market. Earlier in March 2016, China Overseas Land and Investment Limited, which is listed on the SEHK, agreed to acquire CITIC Real Estate Group Co, Ltd and the residential property assets of CITIC Pacific Limited in China from CITIC Limited for approximately 31 billion yuan, including certain real estate assets held by China Overseas Land and Investment Limited, subject to adjustment. The CITIC property portfolio that was the subject of this transaction spanned across 25 cities in China with a total gross floor area of approximately 31.55 million square metres as at 30 April 2016.9 The acquisition represents a notable consolidation between two large real estate developers in China and continues the trend of business restructuring by conglomerates seen in 2015.

Another trend seen in 2016 was the increased number of privatisations of undervalued companies that were listed on the SEHK. Certain Chinese-based SEHK listed companies sought to privatise in favour of possible re-listing in Mainland China. Dalian Wanda Group Co, Ltd privatised Dalian Wanda Commercial Properties Co, Ltd (Dalian Wanda Commercial), a leading commercial property developer in China, with an intention to re-list

⁹ Announcement issued by China Overseas Land & Investment Limited dated 29 June 2016.

in Mainland China. The privatisation was structured as a voluntary conditional general offer for Dalian Wanda Commercial's Hong Kong listed H shares. Dalian Wanda Commercial was delisted from the SEHK in September 2016 and is currently a listing applicant for listing on the Shanghai Stock Exchange. Similarly, low trading volume and depressed share price were largely regarded by the market as the primary reasons behind the privatisation and delisting from the SEHK of Peak Sport Products Co, Limited, one of China's largest branded sports goods companies. However, the privatisation of SEHK listed companies is a complicated process.

VI FINANCING OF M&A: MAIN SOURCES AND DEVELOPMENTS

In common with many other jurisdictions, Hong Kong's Takeovers Code requires an offeror to have certainty of funds to make an offer for a public company. Under the Takeovers Code, in an announcement of a firm intention to make an offer, that announcement should include a confirmation by a financial adviser (or another appropriate third party) that resources are available to the offeror sufficient to satisfy full acceptance of the offer (a sufficiency statement). Such confirmation is not only required when the consideration is cash, or includes an element of cash, but is also required when the consideration consists of, or includes, any other assets except new securities to be issued by the offeror. The executive may also require evidence to support the sufficiency statement, and evidence that the offeror has sufficient resources to complete the purchase of shares that gives rise to the offer obligation.

Depending on how the acquisition is structured, M&A transactions in Hong Kong are usually financed by:

- *a* internal resources;
- *b* shareholders' loans;
- c equity issues;
- d debt issues;
- *e* loan facilities from banks and financial institutions; or
- *f* a combination of two or more of the above.

VII PENSIONS AND EMPLOYMENT LAW

Under Hong Kong law, there is no specific regulation that provides for the transfer of employment contracts when there is a change of ownership of a business, as opposed to an employing company. Employment contracts would therefore be terminated in the case of an acquisition of a business, and the new employer would have the freedom to decide whether to enter into new employment contracts with existing employees. However, generally speaking, where termination of an employment contract takes place due to a transfer of business, this would constitute redundancy, and employees previously employed may be entitled to severance payments and long-service awards, for which the old employer would be liable. However, under Sections 31J and 31C of the Employment Ordinance (EO), severance payments and long-service awards are not payable in the case of a business transfer if, not less than seven days before the end date of an employee's previous contract, the new employer has offered to renew that employee's contract, or to re-engage him or her under a new contract, on no less favourable terms and conditions, and the employee has unreasonably refused that offer. If an offer of renewal or re-engagement had been a renewal or re-engagement

by the old employer without any substitution of the new employer,¹⁰ and, therefore, the employment relationship will be regarded as being 'continuous' for the purposes of the EO.¹¹ Any redundancy issues that may arise in future disposals of the business would therefore be passed to the new employer after the renewal or re-engagement.

Generally speaking, under the Mandatory Provident Funds Schemes Ordinance (MPFO), an employer¹² must enrol its employees as members of one of the registered MPF schemes (as defined in the MPFO) available in the market in Hong Kong. An employer may enrol different employees in different registered schemes. During the contribution period (as defined in the MPFO), the employer must contribute to the registered scheme from its own funds an amount determined in accordance with the MPFO, and deduct from the employee's relevant income for that period as a contribution by the employee to the scheme a further amount determined in accordance with the MPFO. Employees and employers may make additional voluntary contributions to the employee's scheme.

Where there is a proposed disposal of a business, the existing employer and the proposed new employer should consider the implications of the MPFO and arrangements to deal with the accrued benefits of employees under the applicable MPF scheme. If a merger or acquisition is to be effected by way of a share sale, it is not likely that there will be any MPF implications (unless the relevant target company is spun out from a group of companies that operates a group-based scheme), as the merger or acquisition will not involve a change of employer. The surviving party or acquirer would nevertheless be well advised to carry out due diligence to ensure that all target employees are employed by the target company on terms that comply with the MPFO.

However, if the merger or acquisition is to be effected by way of a business transfer involving a change of employer, the employee must, in accordance with Section 14 of the MPFO, elect to transfer the accrued benefits to a contribution account¹³ under the new employer's MPF scheme; retain the accrued benefits in the previous MPF scheme under a preserved account;¹⁴ or transfer the accrued benefits to a preserved account of another MPF scheme.

Both the seller and the buyer must observe and comply with the requirements of the MPFO with respect to the transfer of the accrued benefits of employees.

On 1 May 2011, the Minimum Wage Ordinance came into effect in Hong Kong and introduced a statutory minimum wage.

VIII TAX LAW

Hong Kong's competitive economy is reflected in the transparency, predictability and simplicity of its low-rate tax system. These attractive qualities mean that, unlike many other jurisdictions, Hong Kong tax is generally not the determining factor in the way in which a

¹⁰ Section 31J of the EO.

¹¹ Subsection 3 of Section 31J of the EO and Paragraph 5 of Schedule 1 of the EO.

¹² Under the MPFO, an 'employer' means any person who has entered into a contract of employment to employ another person as his or her employee.

¹³ A contribution account is an account mainly used to accumulate MPF contributions in respect of current employment and investment returns.

¹⁴ A preserved account is an account in which accrued MPF benefits in respect of former employment are held.

transaction is structured in Hong Kong. There is no capital gains tax on the disposal of assets, including the disposal of shares and property. In addition, dividends are not classified as taxable income, and there is no withholding tax on dividends.

Stamp duty on the transfer of Hong Kong shares is currently 0.2 per cent of the consideration paid (or market value), and is generally payable in equal shares of 0.1 per cent by both the seller and the buyer. Transactions that are structured as schemes of arrangement do not attract stamp duty. With effect from 23 February 2013, stamp duty on the transfer of immoveable property in Hong Kong ranges from 1.5 per cent (for transactions up to HK\$2 million) to 8.5 per cent of the amount or value of the consideration (for transactions over HK\$21,739,130), and is usually paid by the purchaser. The Stamp Duty Ordinance is the principal source of legislation governing this area.

The Inland Revenue Ordinance sets out three separate and distinct taxes on income: profits tax, salaries tax and property tax. Liability to tax under these three heads, as a general rule, is limited to persons or entities carrying on a trade, profession or business in Hong Kong, and to income that 'arises in or is derived from' Hong Kong. To this extent, the residence status of persons and companies is irrelevant to income tax assessment. Profits tax for 2015 and 2016 is 16.5 per cent for corporations and 15 per cent for unincorporated businesses.

In respect of loan repayments, as a general rule a borrower's interest expenses will be deductible where the lender is subject to Hong Kong profits tax on its receipt of the interest. In addition, where a financial institution (whether onshore or offshore) makes a genuine loan, interest expenses will generally be deductible.

IX COMPETITION LAW

The Competition Ordinance, Hong Kong's first cross-sector competition law, has been in force for over a year since it came into full effect on 14 December 2015. Previously, only the broadcasting and telecommunications industries were subject to competition law, as provided for in specific provisions of the BO and the TO (now largely repealed). The former TO provided a regulatory framework for the Communications Authority to consent to certain M&A involving carrier licensees in the telecommunications industry.

The Competition Ordinance retains a merger control regime in Hong Kong for the telecommunications industry known as the 'Merger Rule'. Like the regime under the TO, the Merger Rule applies only to mergers involving carrier licensees, and the Communications Authority has concurrent jurisdiction with the Competition Commission in relation to the Merger Rule. However, unlike the merger regime under the TO, the Competition Ordinance does not specify thresholds upon which regulatory consent is triggered. Instead, the Merger Rule refers to the acquisition of 'control', which could apply even if the acquisition involves a minority interest not exceeding 30 per cent. A merger could be prohibited if it has or is likely to have the effect of substantially lessening competition in Hong Kong. It is worth noting that notification of mergers is voluntary rather than mandatory, but in practice the Communications Authority is consulted in most (if not all) cases, even when no competition concerns are expected. The first case under the Competition Ordinance involved an announcement by the Communications Authority on 10 November 2016 of its decision not

to commence an investigation in respect of the HK\$9.5 billion acquisition¹⁵ by Green Energy Cayman Corp¹⁶ of the entire equity interests of Wharf T&T Limited, a carrier licensee under the TO, on the basis that the acquisition was unlikely to have the effect of substantially lessening competition in Hong Kong.

In the run up to the drafting and passing of the Competition Ordinance, which, on the whole, was supported by the public, there was some debate about whether there is a need for merger control in Hong Kong to govern general M&A activity (outside the telecommunications sector). The Public Consultation Paper on Detailed Proposals for Competition Law in 2008 showed a softening of the government's stance on this issue, from 'we do not need a merger control regime' to inviting views on three possible options regarding such a regime. The recommendation of the Commerce and Economic Development Bureau of Hong Kong (CED Bureau) was that merger activities are not to be regulated, except in the telecommunications sector, which is already subject to such regulation under the former TO. The CED Bureau stated that this proposal would give the Competition Commission more time to focus on its initial work of implementing the proposed Competition Ordinance, and would allow for a more effective assessment of whether merger control provisions would be desirable in other (or all) sectors in the future once the Competition Commission has accumulated some experience in the operation of the competition regime. This was the position ultimately adopted in the Competition Ordinance. It has been suggested that the Competition Commission would seek to introduce a fully fledged merger control regime within 'two to three years' of the Competition Ordinance taking full effect.

X OUTLOOK

The government has forecast that Hong Kong's GDP is likely to grow by 2 to 3 per cent in 2017.¹⁷ Hong Kong's economic performance in 2017 will be influenced by developments in external demand, the performance of the global economy and political developments in major advanced economies.

Overall, deal appetite in Hong Kong is high, with M&A markets remaining busy in the first quarter of 2017. During that period, the value of M&A transactions increased by 48.2 per cent compared to the first quarter of 2016.¹⁸

As with other markets across the world, Hong Kong has to grapple with the changing political climate in the US and Europe and various macroeconomic factors, including volatile foreign exchange rates. Tighter controls are expected to be imposed by the Chinese government on capital outflows from China to curb renminbi depreciation and investments that are regarded as speculative rather than strategic. There has also been a recent increase in regulatory scrutiny in China of outbound transactions. These measures are expected to result in a slowdown in outbound China investment, at least in the short term, as Chinese

¹⁵ www.wharftt.com/en/about_us_press_release_2016_20161110.html.

¹⁶ Green Energy Cayman Corp is indirectly owned by MBK Partners and TPG Capital.

¹⁷ Sources: 2016 Economic Background and 2017 Prospects, Financial Secretary's Office Government of the HKSAR (www.hkeconomy.gov.hk/en/pdf/er_16q4.pdf).

¹⁸ Source: Mergermarket. M&A activity totalled 147 deals with a value of US\$44,152 million in the first quarter of 2017, while M&A activity totalled 140 deals with a value of US\$29,802 million in the first quarter of 2016. The statistics shown include majority stake deals (over 30 per cent) and exclude lapsed or withdrawn bids.

companies contend with the more complex regulatory landscape. However, in the longer term, the expectation is that while overzealous investments may be curtailed, outbound investments that are considered to be strategic in nature should continue to be supported. Therefore, overall the outlook of the M&A market in Hong Kong for 2017 and beyond remains optimistic.

The key industries that are ripe for M&A activity are expected to include real estate, financial services and FinTech. China's 'One Belt, One Road' initiative is also expected to create opportunities for Hong Kong companies, particularly in the infrastructure and resources sectors.

Hong Kong has traditionally followed a 'market leads, government facilitates' principle in forming public policy. This has seen Hong Kong ranked as the world's freest economy by the Heritage Foundation for many years.¹⁹

¹⁹ Source: www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/201702/16/P2017021600208.htm.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

JASON WEBBER

Slaughter and May

Jason Webber is a partner of Slaughter and May who is based in Hong Kong. He joined the firm in 1991 and became a partner in 2001. Mr Webber is involved in a wide range of corporate, commercial and financing work, advising companies, financial institutions and fund management groups. He regularly advises in relation to complex matters involving the Hong Kong regulatory authorities and governmental bodies. Mr Webber has also worked in the London office of Slaughter and May.

Mr Webber's experience includes advising MTR Corporation Limited, Hong Kong's mass transit railway operator, in relation to various projects, including its privatisation (being Hong Kong's first and, to date, only privatisation of this kind), its merger with the Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation (being one of the largest and most complex mergers in Asia), and various significant new railway projects such as the Disney Resort Line, the West Island Line, the Shatin to Central Line, the Express Rail Line, the South Island Line, the West Island Line, the Kwun Tong Extension and the construction and operation of the Tung Chung Cable Car on Lantau Island; advising various financial institutions on numerous regulatory matters involving the Hong Kong Monetary Authority, the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission, the Hong Kong Stock Exchange and other Hong Kong regulators, such as advising a consortium of financial institutions in relation to the Hong Kong regulatory aspects of operating an automated trading and clearing system; advising Mercer on its agreement to acquire SCM Strategic Capital Management AG; advising one of the largest international asset management groups on the launch of retail funds in Hong Kong; advising various asset management groups in relation to acquisitions and disposals of asset management vehicles; advising the Oxford Asset Management Group on the launch of the OxAM Quant Fund, a Cayman Island-based hedge fund; and advising several international hedge fund groups on the establishment of operations in Hong Kong. Mr Webber has also sat on one of the disciplinary committees of the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission. He is qualified in England and Wales and in Hong Kong.

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

47th Floor, Jardine House One Connaught Place Central Hong Kong Tel: +852 2521 0551 Fax: +852 2845 2125 jason.webber@slaughterandmay.com



Strategic Research Sponsor of the ABA Section of International Law



ISBN 978-1-910813-75-1